(*d*) what, if any, are the reasons that weighed with the authorities to grant the Cochin Transport Service the through line service Ernakulam—Trichur in preferrence to the other applicants who are bonafide Cochinites;

(*e*) what if any are the grounds for continuing the preference in the fare of the other applicants;

(*f*) are the vehicles owned by the Cochin Transport Service under the control of the responsibility of the Government?

Khan Sahib Y. M. Roshan Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police)*:

(*a*) Yes.
(*b*) No.
(*c*) It is a limited concern.
(*d*) No such permit is granted.
(*e*) The question is not understood.
(*f*) No.

SESSIONS CASE 15 OF 1119—STRICTURES MADE BY THE HIGH COURT AGAINST THE INVESTIGATING OFFICER

371. Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether their attention has been drawn to the strictures made by the Honourable High Court against the investigating Officer in Sessions Case No. 15 of 1119 in their decision reported at page 111 of 1120;

(*b*) whether the Sub-Inspector concerned is still in service?

Khan Sahib Y. M. Roshan Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police)*:

(*a*) Yes.
(*b*) Yes.

ASSAULT ON LORRY DRIVER

372. Mr. P. Kumaran Ezhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: Will Government be pleased to state.—

(*a*) whether with reference to question No. 264 answered on Friday the 19th January 1945 the inter-Departmental correspondence on the subject of the alleged assault on the Lorry Driver by the Police Commissioner's orderly has been closed;

(*b*) had the Police Officer who is the author of the alleged assault been questioned in the matter and if so what is his version;

(*c*) has the matter now been closed and if so how?

Khan Sahib Y. M. Roshan Sahib Bahadur *(Commissioner of Police)*:

(*a*) Yes.
(*b*) There was no assault.
(*c*) Yes. No action is considered necessary.

373. Mr. P. Kumaran Ezuhuthassan *(Vadakkancheri)*: Will Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the driving license of the Lorry Driver concerned in question No. 372 had been taken away from him after the alleged assault and if taken away whether the same has been returned to him at any subsequent time and if returned when;

(*b*) whether the lorry in question is being driven by the same driver;

(*c*) if he is driving under which license, the one possessed by him originally or any other;

(*d*) if the lorry is being driven under a fresh license who has issued the same?

Mr. S. Venkateswaran *(Conservator of Forests)*:

(*a*) Yes. It was taken from the driver on 8th Dhanu 1120 and returnd to him on 27th Dhanu 1120.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) He is driving under the orginal license.

(*d*) Does not arise in view of reply to (*c*).

374. Mr. K. Narayana Menon *(Mulakunnathukavu)*: Will Government be pleased to state in continuation of the answers they were pleased to give to question No. 264 at the last session and as supplemental there to.—

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly